

# intrinsic - extrinsic

working group 4

EERQI

Vienna, September 30, 2009

# overview



<b>March 17</b>	within 6 weeks Classification of indicators (intrinsic/extrinsic)
<b>May 21</b>	revised document on extrinsic – intrinsic, based on inputs by members of working group
<b>July</b>	delayed comments by some members minutes of the meeting of the Strukturkommission
<b>July/August</b>	questions about the procedure request from Ingrid to continue the discussion
<b>September</b>	reactions from some members David: just on intrinsic - extrinsic Fredrik & Ton: mainly on merging indicators and search engine

# open issues



- David: Integrity?
- David: 'the discourse context' a relevant intrinsic indicator?
- David: the additional criterion of 'impact'?
- David: the notion of 'international'
- Fredrik: distinction intrinsic – extrinsic relevant?
- Fredrik & Ton: merging the development of indicators and search engine?

# some observations

How to proceed? Does it make sense to continue the intrinsic - extrinsic discussion in this way?

Some observations and considerations:

- We have arrived at some consensus re the extrinsic – intrinsic dimension in May. We started the discussion in order to have some common basis for the methodology of the project. The question now is whether this is sufficient or acceptable (and not necessarily good).
- Apart from David's detailed reaction recent contributions do not add much to the intrinsic – extrinsic debate; they focus on related topics like the methodology.
- Up to now the email discussion hardly was a discussion; it was just a means to provide input to the lucky one who has to take care for the next (preferably coherent) version of the document.

# other input



1. our experiences with reviewing highlighted text; feedback
2. ...